

## Dichlorido{*N'*-[(pyridin-2-yl)methylidene- $\kappa$ N]acetohydrazide- $\kappa^2$ *N'*,O]}-copper(II)

Amitabha Datta,<sup>a</sup> Shiann-Cherng Sheu,<sup>b</sup> Pei-Hsin Liu<sup>a</sup> and Jui-Hsien Huang<sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, National Changhua University of Education, Changhua 50058, Taiwan, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Occupational Health and Safety, Chang Jung Christian University, Tainan City 71101, Taiwan  
Correspondence e-mail: juihuang@cc.ncue.edu.tw


Received 29 October 2011; accepted 21 November 2011

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study;  $T = 150$  K; mean  $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.003$  Å;  $R$  factor = 0.024;  $wR$  factor = 0.070; data-to-parameter ratio = 20.7.

In the title compound,  $[\text{CuCl}_2(\text{C}_8\text{H}_9\text{N}_3\text{O})]$ , the  $\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}$  atom has a distorted square-pyramidal  $\text{CuCl}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}$  coordination geometry. The tridentate acetohydrazide ligand occupies three basal positions, the fourth basal position being defined by a chloride anion at a distance of 2.2116 (6) Å. The second chloride anion is in the apical position and forms a longer Cu–Cl distance of 2.4655 (7) Å. Intermolecular N–H...Cl hydrogen bonds are present in the crystal, leading to the formation of chains along  $[10\bar{1}]$ .

### Related literature

For related copper(II) complexes with a similar tridentate ligand, see: Sen *et al.* (2005, 2007*a,b*), Ray *et al.* (2008*a,b*), Recio Despaigne *et al.* (2009); Datta *et al.* (2010*a,b*, 2011).



### Experimental

#### Crystal data

$[\text{CuCl}_2(\text{C}_8\text{H}_9\text{N}_3\text{O})]$   
 $M_r = 297.62$   
Monoclinic,  $P2_1/n$   
 $a = 6.8326$  (12) Å  
 $b = 15.137$  (3) Å

$c = 10.689$  (3) Å  
 $\beta = 95.664$  (13)°  
 $V = 1100.0$  (4) Å<sup>3</sup>  
 $Z = 4$   
Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

$\mu = 2.45$  mm<sup>-1</sup>  
 $T = 150$  K

$0.40 \times 0.25 \times 0.25$  mm

#### Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer  
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)  
 $T_{\text{min}} = 0.485$ ,  $T_{\text{max}} = 0.543$

9791 measured reflections  
2836 independent reflections  
2378 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.024$

#### Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.024$   
 $wR(F^2) = 0.070$   
 $S = 1.04$   
2836 reflections

137 parameters  
H-atom parameters constrained  
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.31$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>  
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.35$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

**Table 1**

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
$\text{N3}-\text{H3A}\cdots\text{Cl3}^i$	0.88	2.21	3.0799 (16)	170

Symmetry code: (i)  $x - \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$ .

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2007); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2007); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: XP in SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

We are grateful to the National Science Council of Taiwan for financial support.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: WM2553).

### References

- Bruker (2007). APEX2 and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.  
Datta, A., Chuang, N.-T., Sie, M.-H., Huang, J.-H. & Lee, H. M. (2010*a*). *Acta Cryst. E* **66**, m359.  
Datta, A., Das, K., Zhou, Y.-M., Huang, J.-H. & Lee, H. M. (2010*b*). *Acta Cryst. E* **66**, m1271.  
Datta, A., Das, K., Zhou, Y.-M., Huang, J.-H. & Lee, H. M. (2011). *Acta Cryst. E* **67**, m123.  
Ray, A., Banerjee, S., Butcher, R. J., Desplanches, C. & Mitra, S. (2008*b*). *Polyhedron*, **27**, 2409–2415.  
Ray, A., Banerjee, S., Sen, S., Butcher, R. J., Rosair, G. M., Garland, M. T. & Mitra, S. (2008*a*). *Struct. Chem.* **19**, 209–217.  
Recio Despaigne, A. A., Da Silva, J. G., Do Carmo, A. C. M., Piro, O. E., Castellano, E. E. & Beraldo, H. (2009). *J. Mol. Struct.* **920**, 97–102.  
Sen, S., Mitra, S., Hughes, D. L., Rosair, G. M. & Desplanches, C. (2007*a*). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **360**, 4085–4092.  
Sen, S., Mitra, S., Hughes, D. L., Rosair, G. M. & Desplanches, C. (2007*b*). *Polyhedron*, **26**, 1740–1744.  
Sen, S., Talukder, P., Rosair, G. M. & Mitra, S. (2005). *Struct. Chem.* **16**, 605–610.  
Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.  
Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst. A* **64**, 112–122.

**supplementary materials**

*Acta Cryst.* (2011). E67, m1852 [ doi:10.1107/S1600536811049671 ]

## Dichlorido{*N'*-[(pyridin-2-yl)methylidene- $\kappa$ *N*]acetohydrazide- $\kappa^2$ *N',O*}copper(II)

A. Datta, S.-C. Sheu, P.-H. Liu and J.-H. Huang

### Comment

In the title compound (Fig. 1), the copper(II) ion exhibits a distorted square pyramidal geometry. The *N'*-(pyridine-2-ylmethylene)acetohydrazide ligand is in its keto form as indicated by the short C—O distance of 1.235 (2) Å and defines three of the basal positions *via* the pyridyl N, imine N, and keto O atoms. The fourth basal position is provided by a chloride anion, *trans* to the imine N atom. Another chloride ligand occupies the apical position. The two Cu—Cl distances are unequal in length. The chloride ligand in the apical position forms a long Cu—Cl bond of 2.4655 (7) Å, whereas the Cu—Cl bond to the basal chloride anion is much shorter (2.2116 (6) Å).

Classical intermolecular hydrogen bonds of the type N—H $\cdots$ Cl are present along the [10 $\bar{1}$ ] direction (Fig. 2), leading to the formation of chains.

The structure of a copper(II) dichloride complex with a similar tridentate hydrazone ligand has been reported in the literature (Datta, *et al.*, 2011). For other related copper(II) complexes with similar tridentate ligands, see: Sen *et al.* (2005, 2007*a,b*), Ray *et al.* (2008*a,b*), Recio Despaigne *et al.* (2009); Datta *et al.* (2010*a,b*).

### Experimental

The tridentate acetohydrazide ligand precursor was prepared according to the literature procedure (Ray *et al.*, 2008*b*). To a hot methanolic solution (20 ml) of anhydrous CuCl<sub>2</sub> (0.134 g, 1.0 mmol), the ligand (0.163 g, 1.0 mmol) was added, which produced immediately an intensely green solution. The mixture was then heated to boiling. On cooling to room temperature and after slow evaporation of the green solution, dark green rectangular shaped single crystals of the complex were separated out after 3 days. The crystals were filtered off and washed with water and dried in air.

### Refinement

Carbon- and nitrogen-bound H-atoms were placed in calculated positions (C—H 0.95 to 0.98 Å and N—H 0.88 Å) and were included in the refinement in the riding model approximation, with  $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H})$  set to 1.2 and 1.5 times  $U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C}, \text{N})$ .

### Figures




Fig. 1. The molecular structure of the title complex, showing 50% displacement ellipsoids.




Fig. 2. Packing diagram of the title compound as viewed down the *a* axis. Intermolecular N—H...Cl hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines.

## Dichlorido{*N'*-[(pyridin-2-yl)methylidene- $\kappa$ N]acetohydrazide- $\kappa^2$ N',O}copper(II)

### Crystal data

[CuCl<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>9</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O)]

*M<sub>r</sub>* = 297.62

Monoclinic, *P*2<sub>1</sub>/*n*

Hall symbol: -*P* 2<sub>1</sub>/*n*

*a* = 6.8326 (12) Å

*b* = 15.137 (3) Å

*c* = 10.689 (3) Å

$\beta$  = 95.664 (13)°

*V* = 1100.0 (4) Å<sup>3</sup>

*Z* = 4

*F*(000) = 596

*D<sub>x</sub>* = 1.797 Mg m<sup>-3</sup>

Mo *K* $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda$  = 0.71073 Å

Cell parameters from 4370 reflections

$\theta$  = 3.3–28.6°

$\mu$  = 2.45 mm<sup>-1</sup>

*T* = 150 K

Rectangular, green

0.40 × 0.25 × 0.25 mm

### Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD  
diffractometer

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube  
graphite

$\phi$  and  $\omega$  scans

Absorption correction: multi-scan  
(*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 1996)

*T<sub>min</sub>* = 0.485, *T<sub>max</sub>* = 0.543

9791 measured reflections

2836 independent reflections

2378 reflections with *I* > 2 $\sigma$ (*I*)

*R<sub>int</sub>* = 0.024

$\theta_{\max}$  = 28.8°,  $\theta_{\min}$  = 2.3°

*h* = -9→9

*k* = -19→20

*l* = -14→6

### Refinement

Refinement on *F*<sup>2</sup>

Least-squares matrix: full

*R* [*F*<sup>2</sup> > 2 $\sigma$ (*F*<sup>2</sup>)] = 0.024

*wR*(*F*<sup>2</sup>) = 0.070

*S* = 1.04

2836 reflections

137 parameters

0 restraints

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites

H-atom parameters constrained

*w* = 1/[ $\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0373P)^2 + 0.2632P$ ]

where *P* = (*F<sub>o</sub>*<sup>2</sup> + 2*F<sub>c</sub>*<sup>2</sup>)/3

( $\Delta/\sigma$ )<sub>max</sub> = 0.001

$\Delta\rho_{\max}$  = 0.31 e Å<sup>-3</sup>

$\Delta\rho_{\min}$  = -0.35 e Å<sup>-3</sup>

*Special details*

**Geometry.** All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement.** Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted  $R$ -factor  $wR$  and goodness of fit  $S$  are based on  $F^2$ , conventional  $R$ -factors  $R$  are based on  $F$ , with  $F$  set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating  $R$ -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement.  $R$ -factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on  $F$ , and  $R$ -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

*Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )*

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Cu1	0.24493 (3)	0.323357 (14)	0.19561 (2)	0.03400 (8)
Cl2	0.47106 (7)	0.41980 (4)	0.26879 (5)	0.04816 (13)
Cl3	0.05430 (7)	0.30589 (3)	0.37823 (4)	0.04052 (12)
N1	0.4124 (2)	0.21177 (10)	0.19800 (14)	0.0346 (3)
C5	0.3171 (3)	0.14208 (12)	0.13949 (16)	0.0345 (4)
C4	0.4016 (3)	0.05932 (13)	0.13604 (19)	0.0443 (4)
H4	0.3316	0.0115	0.0952	0.053*
C1	0.5949 (3)	0.19982 (15)	0.25159 (19)	0.0427 (4)
H1	0.6633	0.2483	0.2918	0.051*
C2	0.6879 (3)	0.11807 (16)	0.2502 (2)	0.0517 (5)
H2	0.8185	0.1112	0.2885	0.062*
C3	0.5901 (3)	0.04753 (15)	0.1932 (2)	0.0524 (5)
H3	0.6511	-0.0088	0.1931	0.063*
C6	0.1226 (3)	0.16357 (13)	0.07736 (18)	0.0385 (4)
H6	0.0400	0.1212	0.0330	0.046*
N2	0.0738 (2)	0.24430 (10)	0.08798 (14)	0.0340 (3)
N3	-0.0947 (2)	0.28140 (11)	0.03418 (14)	0.0391 (3)
H3A	-0.1916	0.2506	-0.0048	0.047*
O1	0.0387 (2)	0.40997 (9)	0.10589 (13)	0.0437 (3)
C7	-0.0995 (3)	0.37105 (13)	0.04643 (16)	0.0377 (4)
C8	-0.2756 (3)	0.41705 (15)	-0.0143 (2)	0.0498 (5)
H8A	-0.3316	0.4550	0.0474	0.075*
H8B	-0.3737	0.3733	-0.0465	0.075*
H8C	-0.2380	0.4533	-0.0841	0.075*

*Atomic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )*

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Cu1	0.02943 (13)	0.03512 (13)	0.03591 (13)	-0.00313 (9)	-0.00455 (8)	-0.00127 (8)
Cl2	0.0370 (2)	0.0471 (3)	0.0583 (3)	-0.0125 (2)	-0.0054 (2)	-0.0031 (2)
Cl3	0.0328 (2)	0.0516 (3)	0.0369 (2)	0.0043 (2)	0.00207 (16)	0.00244 (18)
N1	0.0301 (7)	0.0391 (8)	0.0342 (7)	-0.0002 (7)	0.0019 (6)	0.0013 (6)

## supplementary materials

---

C5	0.0336 (9)	0.0372 (9)	0.0327 (8)	-0.0003 (8)	0.0042 (7)	0.0003 (7)
C4	0.0477 (11)	0.0359 (10)	0.0504 (11)	0.0001 (9)	0.0104 (9)	0.0017 (8)
C1	0.0306 (9)	0.0526 (11)	0.0441 (10)	-0.0015 (9)	-0.0010 (7)	0.0026 (9)
C2	0.0347 (10)	0.0626 (14)	0.0573 (12)	0.0107 (10)	0.0017 (9)	0.0118 (11)
C3	0.0501 (12)	0.0462 (12)	0.0621 (13)	0.0136 (10)	0.0117 (10)	0.0111 (10)
C6	0.0375 (10)	0.0394 (10)	0.0380 (9)	-0.0045 (8)	0.0003 (7)	-0.0056 (7)
N2	0.0298 (7)	0.0398 (8)	0.0312 (7)	-0.0005 (6)	-0.0035 (5)	-0.0016 (6)
N3	0.0320 (8)	0.0430 (9)	0.0396 (8)	-0.0006 (7)	-0.0092 (6)	-0.0028 (6)
O1	0.0435 (7)	0.0380 (7)	0.0466 (7)	-0.0043 (6)	-0.0103 (6)	0.0044 (6)
C7	0.0365 (9)	0.0445 (10)	0.0313 (8)	0.0000 (8)	-0.0013 (7)	0.0054 (7)
C8	0.0432 (11)	0.0520 (12)	0.0516 (12)	0.0059 (10)	-0.0086 (9)	0.0072 (9)

### Geometric parameters ( $\text{\AA}$ , $^\circ$ )

Cu1—N2	1.9638 (15)	C2—C3	1.370 (3)
Cu1—N1	2.0390 (16)	C2—H2	0.9500
Cu1—O1	2.0872 (14)	C3—H3	0.9500
Cu1—Cl2	2.2116 (6)	C6—N2	1.275 (2)
Cu1—Cl3	2.4655 (7)	C6—H6	0.9500
N1—C1	1.332 (2)	N2—N3	1.357 (2)
N1—C5	1.359 (2)	N3—C7	1.364 (3)
C5—C4	1.381 (3)	N3—H3A	0.8800
C5—C6	1.462 (3)	O1—C7	1.235 (2)
C4—C3	1.381 (3)	C7—C8	1.484 (3)
C4—H4	0.9500	C8—H8A	0.9800
C1—C2	1.392 (3)	C8—H8B	0.9800
C1—H1	0.9500	C8—H8C	0.9800
N2—Cu1—N1	78.67 (6)	C1—C2—H2	120.2
N2—Cu1—O1	77.16 (6)	C2—C3—C4	119.2 (2)
N1—Cu1—O1	151.48 (6)	C2—C3—H3	120.4
N2—Cu1—Cl2	164.60 (5)	C4—C3—H3	120.4
N1—Cu1—Cl2	99.83 (5)	N2—C6—C5	114.00 (16)
O1—Cu1—Cl2	99.45 (4)	N2—C6—H6	123.0
N2—Cu1—Cl3	93.90 (5)	C5—C6—H6	123.0
N1—Cu1—Cl3	103.94 (4)	C6—N2—N3	125.27 (16)
O1—Cu1—Cl3	92.62 (5)	C6—N2—Cu1	119.37 (13)
Cl2—Cu1—Cl3	101.30 (2)	N3—N2—Cu1	115.30 (12)
C1—N1—C5	118.57 (17)	N2—N3—C7	113.47 (15)
C1—N1—Cu1	128.19 (14)	N2—N3—H3A	123.3
C5—N1—Cu1	113.21 (12)	C7—N3—H3A	123.3
N1—C5—C4	122.24 (18)	C7—O1—Cu1	112.58 (12)
N1—C5—C6	114.14 (16)	O1—C7—N3	119.99 (17)
C4—C5—C6	123.58 (18)	O1—C7—C8	123.20 (19)
C3—C4—C5	118.6 (2)	N3—C7—C8	116.80 (17)
C3—C4—H4	120.7	C7—C8—H8A	109.5
C5—C4—H4	120.7	C7—C8—H8B	109.5
N1—C1—C2	121.7 (2)	H8A—C8—H8B	109.5
N1—C1—H1	119.2	C7—C8—H8C	109.5
C2—C1—H1	119.2	H8A—C8—H8C	109.5

---

C3—C2—C1	119.7 (2)	H8B—C8—H8C	109.5
C3—C2—H2	120.2		

*Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)*

<i>D</i> —H $\cdots$ <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H	H $\cdots$ <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> $\cdots$ <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H $\cdots$ <i>A</i>
N3—H3A $\cdots$ C13 <sup>i</sup>	0.88	2.21	3.0799 (16)	170.

Symmetry codes: (i)  $x-1/2, -y+1/2, z-1/2$ .

Fig. 1






Fig. 2

